

Using of Ellipsoid Method for Finding Linear Regression Parameters with L_1 -regularization

P. Stetsyuk, **V. Stovba**, M. Korablov

V.M. Glushkov Institute of Cybernetics of the NAS of Ukraine

2nd International Conference on Information
Technologies and Their Applications
(**ITTA-2024**)

April 23-25, 2024

Content

- 1 Criterion of Least Moduli powered to $1 \leq p \leq 2$
- 2 The emlmp algorithm with L_1 -regularization
- 3 Computational experiments without regularization
- 4 Computational experiments with regularization

Content

- 1 Criterion of Least Moduli powered to $1 \leq p \leq 2$
- 2 The emlmp algorithm with L_1 -regularization
- 3 Computational experiments without regularization
- 4 Computational experiments with regularization

Linear regression and LMP criterion

m observations (\mathbf{a}_i, y_i) , $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = \overline{1, m}$
 n unknown parameters x_1, \dots, x_n to be evaluated, $m > n$

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = \overline{1, m} \quad (1)$$

Criterion of Least Moduli powered to $1 \leq p \leq 2$ (LMP) is a mathematical programming problem

$$f_p^* = f_p(x_p^*) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f_p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \right|^p \right\} \quad (2)$$

LMP with $p = 1, 2$

Least Moduli Method is the problem (2) with $p = 1$

$$f_1^* = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right| \right\} \quad (3)$$

Least Square Method is the problem (2) with $p = 2$

$$f_2^* = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)^2 \right\} \quad (4)$$

LMP with L_1 -regularization

corresponds to the following mathematical programming problem

$$f_p^* = f_p(x_p^*) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f_p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| y_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right|^p + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \right\} \quad (5)$$

- Problem (5) is a problem of unconditional minimization of a convex nonsmooth function $f_p(x)$ if $p > 1$ and convex piecewise-linear function if $p = 1$
- $\lambda \geq 0$ is a regularization parameter

Content

- 1 Criterion of Least Moduli powered to $1 \leq p \leq 2$
- 2 The emlmp algorithm with L_1 -regularization
- 3 Computational experiments without regularization
- 4 Computational experiments with regularization

The emlmp algorithm based on ellipsoid method

Input parameters: $B_0 := I_n$, x_0 , r_0 , $\varepsilon_f > 0$

Step 1. Calculate $f_p(x_k)$ and $g_{f_p}(x_k)$. If $r_k \|B_k^T g_{f_p}(x_k)\| \leq \varepsilon_f$, then STOP: $k^* = k$ and $x_p^* = x_k$. Otherwise move to Step 2.

Step 2. Let $\xi_k := \frac{B_k^T g_{f_p}(x_k)}{\|B_k^T g_{f_p}(x_k)\|}$.

Step 3. Calculate the next point

$$x_{k+1} := x_k - h_k B_k \xi_k, \text{ where } h_k = \frac{1}{n+1} r_k.$$

Step 4. Calculate

$$B_{k+1} := B_k + \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}} - 1 \right) (B_k \xi_k) \xi_k^T \text{ and } r_{k+1} := r_k \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 - 1}}.$$

Step 5. Move to iteration $k+1$ with values x_{k+1} , r_{k+1} , B_{k+1} .

Computational capabilities of emImpr

Theorem. Sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{k^*}$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\|B_k^{-1}(x_k - x_p^*)\| \leq r_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, k^*.$$

On each iteration $k > 0$ the value of decreasing of volume of the ellipsoid $E_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|B_k^{-1}(x_k - x)\| \leq r_k\}$, which localizes point x_p^* , is constant and equal to

$$q = \frac{\text{vol}(E_k)}{\text{vol}(E_{k-1})} = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n+1}} \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2-1}} \right)^n < \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \right\} < 1.$$

The **emImpr** algorithm can be successfully run on modern computers if $n = 10 \div 30$ and $m = 100 \div 1000$

Content

- 1 Criterion of Least Moduli powered to $1 \leq p \leq 2$
- 2 The emlmp algorithm with L_1 -regularization
- 3 Computational experiments without regularization
- 4 Computational experiments with regularization

- **3 test examples**

- solving time estimation
- robustness of LMP with $p = 1$
- L_1 -regularization effect

- Intel Core i7-10750H, 2.6 GHz, 16 Gb RAM

- Windows 10/64, Octave 6.3.0

Test example 1: solving time estimation

- $n = 20, m = 200$
- $\mathbf{A} = 10 * \text{rand}(m, n), \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A} * \mathbf{xstar}(n, 1),$
 $\mathbf{xstar}(n, 1) = \text{round}(10 * \text{rand}(n, 1) + 0.5)$
- $\mathbf{x0}(n, 1) = \text{round}(5 * \text{rand}(n, 1)), \mathbf{r0} = 5 * \text{norm}(\mathbf{x0} - \mathbf{xstar})$
- Accuracy $\varepsilon_f = (10^{-6})^p, 1 \leq p \leq 2$

$n = 20, m = 200, \text{ no regularization } (\lambda = 0)$

| p | ε_f | $time (sec)$ | itn | f_p | dx |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 1.0 | 1.0e-06 | 1.17 | 19668 | 2.3199e-08 | 5.3e-11 |
| 1.2 | 3.2e-08 | 1.50 | 18005 | 3.7630e-10 | 4.4e-11 |
| 1.5 | 1.0e-09 | 1.25 | 17577 | 1.4034e-11 | 6.8e-10 |
| 1.8 | 3.2e-11 | 1.39 | 16833 | 2.6313e-13 | 9.8e-10 |
| 2.0 | 1.0e-12 | 0.97 | 16384 | 1.0013e-14 | 2.5e-09 |

Test example 2: robustness of LMM

- $n = 20$, $m = 200$
- $\mathbf{A} = 10 * \text{rand}(m, n)$, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A} * \mathbf{xstar}(n, 1)$,
 $\mathbf{xstar}(n, 1) = \text{round}(10 * \text{rand}(n, 1) + 0.5)$
- $\mathbf{x0}(n, 1) = \text{round}(5 * \text{rand}(n, 1))$, $\mathbf{r0} = 5 * \text{norm}(\mathbf{x0} - \mathbf{xstar})$
- even components of \mathbf{y} are multiplied by the value
 $q = (1.0 + 1.0 * \text{sign}(0.5 - \text{rand}))$

$n = 20$, $m = 200$, no regularization ($\lambda = 0$)

| p | ε_f | time (sec) | itn | f_r | dx |
|-----|-----------------|------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 1.0 | 1.0e-06 | 1.13 | 18890 | 5.7678e+04 | 2.2e-10 |
| 1.2 | 3.2e-08 | 0.93 | 10978 | 2.8183e+05 | 1.2e+01 |
| 1.5 | 1.0e-09 | 0.88 | 12441 | 1.3528e+06 | 2.8e+01 |
| 1.8 | 3.2e-11 | 1.21 | 14321 | 6.5044e+06 | 3.4e+01 |
| 2.0 | 1.0e-12 | 0.97 | 16383 | 3.1498e+07 | 3.6e+01 |

Content

- 1 Criterion of Least Moduli powered to $1 \leq p \leq 2$
- 2 The emlmp algorithm with L_1 -regularization
- 3 Computational experiments without regularization
- 4 Computational experiments with regularization

Test example 3: regularization effect

- $m = 20, n = 7$
- Columns $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^5$ of the 20×7 matrix \mathbf{A} obtained using $U[0; 50]$
- \mathbf{y} obtained using $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^5$, known parameters $(x_1, \dots, x_5, x_6 = 0, x_7 = 0)$, and $\varepsilon \sim N(0, 100)$

$$y_i = 6.6a_{i1} + 4.4a_{i2} + 2.6a_{i3} + 1.5a_{i4} + 7.3a_{i5} + \varepsilon, \quad i = \overline{1, 20}$$

- Variant 1: $\mathbf{a}^6 = 0.3\mathbf{a}^1 + 0.2\mathbf{a}^3, \mathbf{a}^7 = 0.4\mathbf{a}^4 + 0.2\mathbf{a}^2$
- Variant 2: $\mathbf{a}^6 = 0.8\mathbf{a}^1 + 0.2\mathbf{a}^3, \mathbf{a}^7 = 0.4\mathbf{a}^4 + 0.2\mathbf{a}^2$
- $p = 2, \varepsilon_f = 10^{-6}$
- $\mathbf{x}^* = (6.6, 4.4, 2.6, 1.5, 7.3, 0.0, 0.0)$

Test example 3: regularization effect

| | $\lambda = 0$ | | $\lambda = 0.01$ | |
|-------|----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Variant 1 | Variant 2 | Variant 1 | Variant 2 |
| x_n | -2.38e+05 | 1.73e+05 | 6.5883 | 1.0526 |
| | -4.54e+05 | 6.71e+05 | 4.4177 | 4.3894 |
| | -1.58e+05 | 4.33e+04 | 2.5880 | 1.2232 |
| | -9.09e+05 | 1.34e+06 | 1.4903 | 1.5099 |
| | 7.91e+00 | 7.91e+00 | 7.9229 | 7.8969 |
| | 7.94e+05 | -2.16e+05 | 0.0000 | 6.9286 |
| | 2.27e+06 | -3.35e+06 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| f_p | 2.24e+01 | 8.75e+00 | 2.10e+01 | 1.56e+01 |
| dx | 7.0e+06 | 9.8e+06 | 2.0e+01 | 2.0e+01 |

Conclusions

1. The **emlmp** algorithm solves linear regression problem with *dozens* of unknown parameters and *hundreds* of observations within 1–2 seconds
2. L_1 -regularization is an effective tool for finding parameters of linear regression model if its features are linearly dependent

Acknowledgements



National Research Foundation of Ukraine
(grant №2021.01/0136)



Volkswagen Foundation
(grant №97 775)

Project of Research Works of Young Scientists
(№07-02/03-2023)

DTT TS KNU NASU
(0124U002162, grant №2M-2024)

Thank you for your attention

vik.stovba@gmail.com